



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Tomohisa YAMAMOTO et al

Application No.: 09/845,255

Filed: May 1, 2001

For: ANTI-STATIC FILM FOR DISPLAY

Group Art Unit: 2871

Examiner: T. Rude

Atty. Docket No.: 108421-00013

RECEIVED
NOV 14 2002
11-21-02
BAGW

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

November 14, 2002

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated June 14, 2002, the time period for responding being extended for two months from September 14, 2002 to November 14, 2002 by the attached Petition for Extension of Time, please consider the following remarks during the prosecution of the above-identified application.

REMARKS

The Office Action dated June 14, 2002 has been received and carefully noted. The following remarks are submitted as a full and complete response thereto. Accordingly, claims 1-15 are pending in this application and are submitted for consideration.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,372,354, "Park"). In making this rejection, the Office Action took the position that Park discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, except for explicitly disclosing the exact range of surface electrical resistance and the exact range of Y value obtained by 5 degree specular reflectance. However, Applicants